



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/397,558	09/16/99	LAL	FF-0527-101V

LEGAL DEPARTMENT
INCYTE GENOMICS, INC.
3160 PORTER DRIVE
PALO ALTO CA 94304

HM11/0718

EXAMINER
HARRIS, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1642	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.
09/397,558

Applicant(s)
Lal et al.

Examiner
Alana M. Harris, Ph. D.

Art Unit
1642



– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

THE REPLY FILED May 15, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☒ A Notice of Appeal was filed on May 15, 2001. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
Applicants arguments are unpersuasive and the rejections are maintained for the reasons of record.
see attached
7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed: _____
Claim(s) objected to: _____
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 21, 22, and 27-29
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
11. ☐ Other: _____

Sheela Huff
SHEELA HUFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Addendum to Advisory Action

1. Applicant's arguments do not overcome the listed rejections stated in Paper number 13, mailed February 9, 2001.

(A) The rejections of claims 1, 2, 21, 22 and 27-29 under 35 U.S.C. 101/ 35 U.S.C. 112 are maintained. Mere expression of PGAMP-1 or PGAMP-2 molecules in tissues does not mean effective treatment of disorders. There continues to be no objective evidence of record to show that these molecules can be used in the identification of cancerous tissue and as selective markers just for prostate cancer. Asserting that the claimed invention has strong chemical and structural homology to three other does not support the molecules' utility nor applicability as therapeutic or pharmaceutical agents.

(B) The rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement commensurate within the scope of the claimed invention is maintained. Applicants have yet to identify the amino acid residues that could mutated, deleted or substituted that could yield polypeptides that would retain the structure and function in the same manner as Applicants have alleged.